

Performance of Multiple Antenna Beamforming in Higher Constellation PSK Signaling Schemes

Z. K. Adeyemo^{1*}, R. O. Abolade^{1**}, F. A. Semire^{1***}, and E. O. Rabiun^{1****}

Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria

*e-mail: zkadeyemo@lautech.edu.ng

**e-mail: roabolade@lautech.edu.ng

***e-mail: fasemire@lautech.edu.ng

****e-mail: tosrab@yahoo.co.uk

Received September 21, 2016

Revised February 5, 2019

Accepted March 12, 2020

Abstract—The quality of reception of radio signals at the destination is greatly influenced by the type of signaling schemes used and the use of multiple antennas at the output of transmitter and the input of receiver. However, this configuration usually causes InterCarrier Interference (ICI), which leads to distortions. In this paper, the performance of 6×6 multiple antenna beamforming in offset 16, 32 and 64-Phase Shift Keying (PSK) schemes is evaluated using the system model for the received signal developed to reduce distortions. The beamforming is performed on the offset signaling schemes by weighing the transmitted streams with the eigenvalues of the 6×6 antenna channel before the transmission through the 6 multiple antennas over the Rayleigh channel. The distorted signals received are demodulated and filtered by using the square root raised cosine receive filter. These signals are detected and compared with the transmitted bits to evaluate the performance using Bit Error Rate (BER). The results obtained show that as the constellation size of offset PSK schemes increases, the BER values increase and provide better performance than the corresponding conventional schemes.

DOI: 10.3103/S0735272720020065

1. INTRODUCTION

The multiple antenna configuration is a technique for increasing the capacity, quality of service, performance and for reducing the interference of any form from other users. The multiple antennas are employed to reduce effects of the scattering by obstacles along the signal propagation that results in fading. Signal propagation through the wireless channel experiences the loss of signal strength and shadowing due to obstacles resulting in multipath propagation.

Though diversity combining techniques such as Maximal Ratio Combining (MRC), Selection Combining (SC), and Equal Gain Combining (EGC) have made enormous contributions in enhancing the performance of wireless communication system leading to the growth of wireless technology, but they are less efficient in higher data rate systems [1–4].

However, due to the need of high data rates, spectral efficiency and better performance, the research into the multiple antenna technology is being carried out for achieving these features [5].

Some of the techniques under multiple antenna technology are Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO), where there are equal arrays of antennas at both transmitting and receiving ends of the wireless channel, Single Input Multiple Output (SIMO), where there are multiple antennas at the input of receiver with only one transmitting antenna; and the other possible technique is Multiple Input Single Output (MISO), where many antennas are transmitting over the wireless channel to one receiving antenna.

According to [6, 7] research has shown that an increase in channel capacity is noticeable when multiple antennas are used at the input and output of the channel. The link performance and gain of MIMO are maximized when the channels between transmit and receive antennas are assumed to be independent of one another due to multipath propagation. Independent of paths means, the propagation paths are uncorrelated.

Based on the diversity or multiplexing technique, MIMO transmission schemes are classified as beamforming, spatial diversity, and spatial multiplexing [8–12].

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The initial version of this paper in Russian is published in the journal “Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Radioelektronika,” ISSN 2307-6011 (Online), ISSN 0021-3470 (Print) on the link <http://radio.kpi.ua/article/view/S0021347020020065> with DOI: [10.20535/S0021347020020065](https://doi.org/10.20535/S0021347020020065).

REFERENCES

1. Z. K. Adeyemo and I. A. Ojedokun, “EGC receiver using single radio frequency chain and single matched filter over combined Rayleigh and Rician fading channels,” *ARPJ. Eng. Appl. Sci.* **9**, No. 7, 992 (2014).
2. A. Goldsmith, *Wireless Communications* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
3. H. S. Jochen, *Mobile Communication* (Longman Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 2000).
4. Y. S. Cho, J. Kim, W. Y. Yang, and C. G. Kang, *MIMO-OFDM Wireless Communications with MATLAB®* (John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK, 2010).
5. T. Weber, A. Sklavos, and M. Meurer, “Imperfect channel-state information in MIMO transmission,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.* **54**, No. 3, 543 (Mar. 2006). DOI: [10.1109/TCOMM.2006.869783](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2006.869783).
6. G. G. Raleigh and J. M. Cioffi, “Spatio-temporal coding for wireless communication,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.* **46**, No. 3, 357 (1998). DOI: [10.1109/26.662641](https://doi.org/10.1109/26.662641).
7. G. J. Foschini and M. J. Gans, “On Limits of Wireless Communications in a Fading Environment when Using Multiple Antennas,” *Wirel. Pers. Commun.* **6**, No. 3, 311 (1998). DOI: [10.1023/A:1008889222784](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008889222784).
8. A. Gorokhov, D. A. Gore, and A. J. Paulraj, “Receive antenna selection for MIMO spatial multiplexing: Theory and algorithms,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **51**, No. 11, 2796 (Nov. 2003). DOI: [10.1109/TSP.2003.818204](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2003.818204).
9. B. A. Cetiner, E. Akay, E. Sengul, and E. Ayanoglu, “A MIMO system equipped with multifunctional reconfigurable antennas,” in *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society, AP-S International Symposium (Digest)* (2006), pp. 157–160. DOI: [10.1109/APS.2006.1710477](https://doi.org/10.1109/APS.2006.1710477).
10. C. N. Chuah, D. N. C. Tse, J. M. Kahn, and R. A. Valenzuela, “Capacity scaling in MIMO wireless systems under correlated fading,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **48**, No. 3, 637 (Mar. 2002). DOI: [10.1109/18.985982](https://doi.org/10.1109/18.985982).
11. D. Tse and P. Viswanath, *Fundamentals of Wireless Communication* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
12. M. Gheryani, Z. Wu, and Y. R. Shayan, “Capacity and performance of adaptive MIMO system based on beam-nulling,” in *IEEE Int. Conference on Communications* (2009). DOI: [10.1109/ICC.2009.5198804](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2009.5198804).
13. Z. K. Adeyemo, E. O. Rabiou, and O. A. Robert, “Offset Phase Shift Keying Modulation in Multiple-Input Multiple-Output Spatial Multiplexing,” *Trans. Networks Commun.* **3**, No. 2, 116 (Apr. 2015). DOI: [10.14738/tnc.32.1144](https://doi.org/10.14738/tnc.32.1144).
14. J. G. Proakis and M. Salehi, *Communication Systems Engineering* (Prentice Hall, 2002).
15. J. Proakis and M. Salehi, *Digital Communications*, 5th ed. (McGraw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math, 2007).
16. T. S. Rappaport, *Wireless Communications - Principles and Practice*, 2nd ed. (Prentice-Hall, NJ, 2002).
17. B. Sklar, *Digital Communications: Fundamentals and Applications* (Prentice-Hall PTR, 2001).
18. A. Amin, “Computation of bit-error rate of coherent and non-coherent detection M-ary PSK with gray code in BFWA systems,” *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Technol.* **3**, No. 1, 118 (2011). DOI: [10.4156/ijact.vol3.issue1.13](https://doi.org/10.4156/ijact.vol3.issue1.13).
19. K. S. Ahn, R. W. Heath, and H. K. Baik, “Shannon capacity and symbol error rate of space-time block codes in MIMO rayleigh channels with channel estimation error,” *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.* **7**, No. 1, 324 (Jan. 2008). DOI: [10.1109/TWC.2008.060539](https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2008.060539).
20. A. S. Mindaudu and A. M. Miyim, “BER Performance of MPSK and MQAM in 2x2 Alamouti MIMO Systems,” *Int. J. Inf. Sci. Tech.* **2**, No. 5 (2012). DOI: [10.5121/ijist.2012.2501](https://doi.org/10.5121/ijist.2012.2501).
21. H. Liu, “Error Performance of MIMO Systems in Frequency Selective Rayleigh Fading Channels,” in *Conference Record / IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference* (2003), vol. 4, pp. 2104–2108. DOI: [10.1109/glocom.2003.1258607](https://doi.org/10.1109/glocom.2003.1258607).

22. C. Li and X. Wang, "Performance comparisons of MIMO techniques with application to WCDMA systems," *EURASIP J. Appl. Signal Processing* **2004**, No. 5, 649 (May 2004). DOI: [10.1155/S1110865704309029](https://doi.org/10.1155/S1110865704309029).
23. G. Taricco, "Asymptotic mutual information statistics of separately correlated Rician fading MIMO channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **54**, No. 8, 3490 (Aug. 2008). DOI: [10.1109/TIT.2008.926415](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2008.926415).
24. H. H. Chen, Y. C. Yeh, M. Guizani, and Y. M. Huang, "Space-time complementary coding MIMO with joint spatial diversity and multiplex capability," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.* **7**, No. 8, 2950 (Aug. 2008). DOI: [10.1109/TWC.2008.060551](https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2008.060551).
25. E. A. Jorswieck and H. Boche, "Performance analysis of capacity of MIMO systems under multiuser interference based on worst-case noise behavior," *EURASIP J. Wirel. Commun. Netw.* **2004**, No. 2, 273 (Dec. 2004). DOI: [10.1155/s1687147204406136](https://doi.org/10.1155/s1687147204406136).